



Additively manufactured 3D porous Ti-6AI-4V constructs mimic trabecular bone structure and regulate osteoblast proliferation, differentiation and local factor production in a porosity and surface roughness dependent manner

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2014 Biofabrication 6 045007 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1758-5090/6/4/045007)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 84.95.215.241 This content was downloaded on 30/12/2015 at 11:57

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## Additively manufactured 3D porous Ti-6Al-4V constructs mimic trabecular bone structure and regulate osteoblast proliferation, differentiation and local factor production in a porosity and surface roughness dependent manner

# Alice Cheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Aiza Humayun<sup>3</sup>, David J Cohen<sup>3</sup>, Barbara D Boyan<sup>1,3</sup> and Zvi Schwartz<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wallace A Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, USA

<sup>4</sup>University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

E-mail: bboyan@vcu.edu

Received 16 June 2014, revised 8 August 2014 Accepted for publication 21 August 2014 Published 7 October 2014

## Abstract

Additive manufacturing by laser sintering is able to produce high resolution metal constructs for orthopedic and dental implants. In this study, we used a human trabecular bone template to design and manufacture Ti-6Al-4V constructs with varying porosity via laser sintering. Characterization of constructs revealed interconnected porosities ranging from 15-70% with compressive moduli of 2579-3693 MPa. These constructs with macro porosity were further surface-treated to create a desirable multi-scale micro-/nano-roughness, which has been shown to enhance the osseointegration process. Osteoblasts (MG63 cells) exhibited high viability when grown on the constructs. Proliferation (DNA) and alkaline phosphatase specific activity, an early differentiation marker, decreased as porosity increased, while osteocalcin, a late differentiation marker, as well as osteoprotegerin, vascular endothelial growth factor and bone morphogenetic proteins 2 and 4 increased with increasing porosity. Three-dimensional (3D) constructs with the highest porosity and surface modification supported the greatest osteoblast differentiation and local factor production. These results indicate that additively manufactured 3D porous constructs mimicking human trabecular bone and produced with additional surface treatment can be customized for increased osteoblast response. Increased factors for osteoblast maturation and differentiation on high porosity constructs suggest the enhanced performance of these surfaces for increasing osseointegration in vivo.

Keywords: osteoblast differentiation, laser sintering, surface roughness, materials properties, custom implants, additive manufacturing

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

#### 1. Introduction

Over two million dental implants are placed annually, and over four million hip and knee replacement surgeries are expected by the year 2030 [1, 2]. The orthopedic implant market is projected to exceed \$46 billion by the year 2017, in part due to an increasing number of elderly patients as well as increased quality of life expectations of younger patients [3]. Titanium and its alloys are still widely used in dental and orthopedic metal implants, based on the ability of bone to form in tight apposition to implants fabricated from these materials [4–6]. Titanium and titanium-aluminum-vanadium (Ti6Al4V) have a naturally occurring passive oxide layer on their surface that is biologically preferable and resists corrosion, while still maintaining strong mechanical properties and a high strength to weight ratio [7].

Implant surface roughness is one factor that has been shown to successfully increase cell response *in vitro* and osseointegration *in vivo*, and micro-rough surfaces are currently used as the industry standard in dental and many boneinterfacing orthopedic implants [8, 9]. Previous studies in our lab confirm that the combined presence of micro-/submicronscale roughness contributes to increased osteoblast response [10, 11]. By altering only the surface microtopography and without exogenous factors in media, osteoblast differentiation can be increased on titanium surfaces [12]. This may be due in part to the protein–material interaction at the surface, which affects downstream cell response. Changes in the cytoskeleton, including integrin expression and signaling, have also been implicated in this effect [13, 14].

Although dental implant success is achieved in over 95% of healthy patients, certain risk factors still inhibit osseointegration. Osseointegration rates for diabetics and smokers are reduced tremendously [15, 16]. In addition, low bone density or osteoporosis most commonly seen in the increasing elderly population can also decrease osseointegration. Most orthopedic implants have a lifetime of only 12–15 years, requiring revision surgery that can be fatal for older patients [5, 17]. These factors contribute to the need for improving both osseointegration rates and implant longevity. Therefore, there is an existing need for implants that have the ability to increase bone formation and enhance the regeneration process.

Titanium also has desirable mechanical properties due to its low modulus of elasticity and high strength to weight ratio [18]. However, solid titanium still exceeds the stiffness of cortical bone by more than threefold, causing stress shielding and bone loss downstream of the implant [19]. 3D porous coatings and implants have been proposed to decrease stress shielding via porosity-dependent mechanical properties and increased bone interlocking, making these a promising treatment for at risk patients or younger patients who need an extended implant lifetime [20, 21].

Additive manufacturing techniques provide a layer-by-layer approach to building porous or patient-specific implants that have tailored macro structural and mechanical properties [22]. Selective laser sintering has the ability to create high resolution, porous metal constructs with positive results in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies [23]. There have been many studies that observe the effect of controlled porosity on *in vitro* or *in vivo* response. However, porosity in these studies was created using homogeneous strut and pore sizing, without a biological template and with limited surface modification [23–26]. Trabecular bone in the human body does not have the same pore shape, size or surface roughness. In studies where surface modification was used to induce micro-roughness, bulk porosity was limited to a user designed template [27, 28]. Thus far, the combination of macro structural parameters integrated with micro-scale surface treatment has not been studied. The purpose of this study was to replace the traditional man-made structural template with a biological template.

In this study, we used human trabecular bone as a template to laser sinter Ti6Al4V with varying porosity, and additionally modified the surfaces to obtain a combined micro-/nano-roughness. The resulting constructs were characterized for their surface, structural and mechanical properties. Cellular response to constructs with varying porosity was also performed, with the hypothesis that osteoblast response would increase on 3D constructs with increasing porosity.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Manufacturing

2.1.1. Material manufacturing. A computed tomographic (CT) scan was taken of a human femoral head retrieved from a hip replacement ( $\mu$ CT 40, Scanco Medical, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) with a  $16 \,\mu m$  voxel size. A template was created using Scanco software (Scanco Medical, Bassersdorf, Switzerland) and rotated and superimposed on itself 12, 24, or 36 times to create constructs with low (3DLP), medium (3DMP) and high porosity (3DHP), respectively (figure 1(A)). Generated 3D renderings were manufactured into Ti6Al4V disks 15 mm in diameter and 5 mm in height. Each disk included a 1 mm solid base upon which the remaining porous material was sintered in order to ensure mechanical stability during sintering. 2D surfaces were 15 mm in diameter and 1 mm in height (figure 1(B)). Laser sintering was performed using an Ytterbium fiber laser system (EOS, EmbH Munchen, Germany) with Ti6Al4V (grade 5) particles 25-45 um in diameter (Advanced Powders & Coatings, Quebec, Canada). Laser scanning speed was  $7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  with a wavelength of 1054 nm, continuous power of 200 W and laser spot size of 0.1 mm.

2.1.2. Surface modification. After manufacturing, disks were blasted with calcium phosphate particles using proprietary technology (AB Dental, Ashdod, Israel) and then acid etched by ultrasonicating in 0.3 N nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) once for five minutes at 45 °C and twice for five minutes at 25 °C. Disks were rinsed in 97% methanol for five minutes. Final pickling



**Figure 1.** (Left to right) laser sintered disks were created from a CT scan conducted of human trabecular bone from the femoral head after a hip replacement. Original CT scans showing bone porosity through transverse and axial cross sections were used as a template for porous, laser sintered disks (A). Top-down camera images and micro CT cross sections of laser sintered 3D disks with (from left to right) low, medium and high porosity (B). Total porosity was calculated using a traditional method based on mass (C). Total and open porosity was calculated with micro CT (D). 1 Way ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction was performed separately for total porosity or open porosity. p < 0.05 is indicated by \* versus 3DLP and ^ versus 3DMP. Unpair ^ porosity showed no significance between groups.

treatment was performed by ultrasonicating disks thrice for 10 min in ultrapure distilled water, immersing for 30 min in 1:1 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> NaOH to 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> H2O2 for 30 min at 80 °C and ultrasonicating in water for 10 min. Constructs were then placed in a degreaser for 12 min, immersed in 65% aqueous HNO3, and ultrasonicated thrice in water for 10 min. Surfaces were blotted with lint free tissue and allowed to dry for at least 24 h in order to stabilize the oxide layer before characterization and cell culture.

#### 2.2. Material characterization

2.2.1. Surface chemistry. Surface chemistry was analyzed using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-Alpha, ThermoFisher Scientific, Boston, MA). Samples were

transferred to the analysis chamber at a pressure of 1e-8 mbar. An XR5 gun was used with a  $500 \,\mu$ m spot size at 15 kV to perform survey scans with 20 ms dwelling time and 1 eV energy step size. Bulk chemistry was analyzed using energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan).

2.2.2. Contact angle. Sessile drop contact angle was used to assess surface energy and surface wettability (Ramé-Hart goniometer, Succasunna, NJ). 2D solid laser sintered surfaces that received the same post-processing treatment as 3D constructs (figures 2(E), (F)) were used as a proxy for contact angle measurements due to difficulty in obtaining accurate contact angle measurements for porous constructs.  $4 \mu l$  drops of distilled water were deposited on five predetermined



**Figure 2.** SEM images of (columns from left to right) 2D, 3D low, medium and high porosity disks. Low magnification images showing trabecular structure after production (A), after blasting and acid etching (B), and after picking (C). High magnification images showing smooth surfaces after production (B), micro-roughness after blasting and acid etching (D), and nano-roughness after picking (F).

locations per disk, with two disks per group (n = 10). Videos of these drops were taken and still images were used in conjunction with DROPimage software (Ramé-Hart goniometer, Succasunna, NJ) to determine the average left and right contact angle of each drop.

2.2.3. Surface topography. Surface topography was qualitatively assessed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan). Disks were secured on imaging stubs with carbon tape and imaged with  $56 \mu A$  ion current, 4 kV accelerating voltage and 4 mm working

distance. Three locations per disk were imaged to ensure homogeneous assessment, with at least two disks per group imaged.

2.2.4. Roughness. Macro-and micro-roughness were analyzed with confocal laser microscopy (LEXT OLS4000, Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Macro roughness was analyzed with a 10× objective, and micro-roughness was analyzed with a 20× objective and additional 5× optical zoom. After a three point correction, a cutoff wavelength of  $100 \,\mu$ m was used to analyze average roughness (R<sub>a</sub>) and surface area.

2.2.5. Porosity. 3D constructs were analyzed for porosity using micro-computed tomography (micro CT) (SkyScan 1173, Micro Photonics, Allentown, PA). A volume of interest of 469 mm<sup>3</sup>, or approximately 66% of each construct's porous volume, was analyzed for total percent porosity, open porosity, pore diameter, strut size, and surface area to volume (SA/V) ratio. Scans were taken using an Al 1.0 mm filter, 100 kV voltage, 80  $\mu$ A current, 1120×1120 camera pixels, 0.2° rotation step, frame averaging of 10, and random movement of 10. Post-processing included a global threshold of 100–255 and despeckling black and white speckles less than 10 voxels. We verified the validity of micro CT analysis by comparison of total porosity analyzed through a traditional method based on size and mass (figure 1(C)).

2.2.6. Mechanical testing. Compressive moduli of 3D constructs were determined using the MTS Insight 30 testing machine (MTS Systems, Minnesota, USA). A preload of 0.01 kN was applied at 0.025 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, then a test speed of 0.02 mm s<sup>-1</sup> was used until failure or the maximum load of 30 kN was applied. Data acquisition rate was 500 Hz, and the compressive modulus was taken as the slope output of the resulting stress/strain curve. Testing was performed on 6 constructs per group (total n = 6).

#### 2.3. Biological response

2.3.1. Cell viability. The MG63 human osteoblast-like cell line was used as a model for osteoblast viability, proliferation and differentiation on sintered surfaces. These cells have been characterized and have been used as a model for osteoblast response to titanium surfaces with varying topography [29, 30]. Surfaces were sterilized in UV for 20 min in a biosafety cabinet prior to cell culture. Cells were cultured in tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) flasks until confluence, then centrifuged and resuspended to yield a plating density of  $30\,000$  cells per cm<sup>2</sup> on TCPS, or 60 000 cells per surface in a 24-well plate. Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin was used to feed cells 24 h after plating and treat at confluence according to the TCPS control. 24 h after confluence, cells were treated with  $5 \mu M$  calcein-AM and  $4 \mu M$ ethidium homodimer-1 (LIVE/DEAD, Life Technologies, California, USA) in 1× Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (D-PBS, Life Technologies) for 20 min. Surfaces were imaged using the Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Individual images were taken of 2D disks, while 550 um z-stacks were taken of 3D disks. Green (live) and red (dead) channel thresholds were optimized for each group in order to better distinguish cells. Three images were analyzed and averaged per z-stack, with at least n=6 total areas (z-stacks) analyzed for at least two constructs per group (total n = 12).

2.3.2. Osteoblast proliferation and maturation. Surfaces were gamma irradiated prior to cell culture. MG63 cells were cultured as described above. Medium was changed at confluence, and cells were harvested 24 h after confluence,

rinsed twice with 1xPBS, then stored at -20 °C overnight for biological assays. Cell lysate was assayed for DNA content (P7589, Invitrogen) and total protein content (23225, Pierce). Alkaline phosphatase specific activity was measured as a function of *p*-nitrophenol production from pnitrophenylphosphate at pH 10.2 and normalized to total protein. Medium was assayed for osteocalcin (OCN, BT-480, Biomedical Technologies), VEGF (DY293B, R&D Systems), (DY805, R&D Systems), BMP2 (900-K255, OPG PeproTech) and BMP4 (DY 314, R&D Systems). Data were normalized to total DNA content. Experiments were performed at least twice to ensure validity of the results.

#### 2.3.3. Sample preparation for scanning electron microscopy.

One disk from each group was UV-treated for 20 min in a biological hood and plated with 60 000 MG63 cells and cultured as described above. Media were changed at confluence, and cells were fixed 24 h after confluence with 4% paraformaldehyde (electron microscopy sciences). Constructs were rinsed three times in 1×PBS, then dehydrated in a series of increasing ethanol concentrations: 15%, 30%, and 45% for two hours, then 60%, 75%, 90% and thrice in 100% for at least one hour. Samples were then exchanged in 1:1 100% ethanol and hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Sigma Aldrich) for 30 min in a chemical safety hood, then twice in 100% HMDS for 30 min. Samples were dried 24 h in a desiccator before being platinum sputtered and imaged with SEM as described above (Hitachi SU-70, Tokyo, Japan).

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

Surface characterization data are presented as mean  $\pm$  one standard deviation (SD) of all measurements performed across samples in the same group. Cell viability is presented as the mean of all measurements performed across samples in the same group. Cell proliferation and differentiation data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) for six independent cultures. All experiments were repeated at least twice to ensure validity of observations, with results from individual experiments shown. Statistical analysis among groups was performed by analysis of variance, and significant differences between groups were compared using Bonferroni's modification of Student's t-test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Surface characterization

Laser sintered 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had  $16.2 \pm 2.9\%$ ,  $38.5 \pm 3.9\%$ , and  $70.0 \pm 3.5\%$  total porosity and  $15.0 \pm 2.9\%$ ,  $37.9 \pm 4.0\%$ ,  $70.0 \pm 3.5\%$  open porosity, respectively (table 1). Total porosity and open porosity were not significantly different, showing complete interconnectivity between pores (figure 1(D)). Average pore diameter was  $177 \pm 22 \,\mu$ m for 3DLP,  $383 \pm 15 \,\mu$ m for 3DMP and

Table 1. Porosity parameters.					
Porosity parameters (average $\pm$ SD)					
	Total porosity (%)	Open porosity (%)	Pore diameter (µm)	Strut thickness (µm)	SA/V Ratio
3DLP	$16.2 \pm 2.9$ 38 5 + 3 9 (*)	$15.0 \pm 2.9$ 37.9 ± 4.0 (*)	$177 \pm 22$ 383 + 15 (*)	$628 \pm 150$ $454 \pm 57$ (*)	$23.5 \pm 7.4$ 36.1 + 5.4 (*)
3DHP	$70.0 \pm 3.5$ (**)	$70.0 \pm 3.5$ (*/)	$653 \pm 22$ (*^)	$305 \pm 26 (*^)$	$56.9 \pm 5.8 (*^)$

Significance \* versus LP,  $^{\circ}$  versus MP p < 0.05.

Table 2. Surface chemistry (XPS): elemental composition.

	Element (atomic % average ± SD)						
	0	С	Ti	Ν	Р	Ca	Al
2D	$41.6 \pm 3.7$	$41.8 \pm 5.4$	$12.6 \pm 1.5$	$1.3 \pm 0.6$	_	_	$2.5 \pm 0.5$
3D-LP	$44.9 \pm 4.4$	$36.6 \pm 7.9$	$6.3 \pm 1.7$	$5.6 \pm 1.5$	$3.3 \pm 3.9$	$2.6 \pm 1.9$	_
3D-MP	$54.5 \pm 1.9$	$20.5 \pm 20.4$	$6.9 \pm 1.7$	$4.9 \pm 0.9$	$9.7 \pm 1.6$	$3.6 \pm 1.0$	_
3D-HP	$51.7 \pm 3.1$	$29.5\pm2.8$	$13.4 \pm 1.1$	$3.2 \pm 0.8$	$1.6 \pm 1.8$	_	

Does not include trace elements less than 1%.

Table 3. Surface chemistry (EDX): elemental composition.

	Element (weight % average ± SD)			
	Ti	Al	V	С
2D	$89.8 \pm 0.6$	$7.1 \pm 0.8$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	_
3D-LP	$87.3 \pm 4.7$	$6.7 \pm 1.6$	$3.0 \pm 0.2$	$2.4 \pm 3.8$
3D-MP	$88.9 \pm 2.2$	$7.0 \pm 1.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	_
3D-HP	$89.0 \pm 1.8$	$7.5 \pm 1.4$	$3.2 \pm 0.2$	—

Does not include trace elements less than 1%.

 $653 \pm 22 \,\mu$ m for 3DHP constructs. Average strut thickness was  $628 \pm 150 \,\mu$ m for 3DLP,  $454 \pm 57 \,\mu$ m for 3DMP and  $305 \pm 26 \,\mu$ m for 3DHP. The ratio between the analyzed construct surface area to volume ratio was  $23.5 \pm 7.4$  for 3DLP,  $36.1 \pm 5.4$  for 3DMP, and  $56.9 \pm 5.8$  for 3DHP disks. For all porosity parameters (total porosity, open porosity, pore diameter, strut thickness and SA/V ratio), all groups were significantly different from each other. Pore diameter, strut thickness and SA/V ratio all increased with increasing construct porosity.

Surface chemistry performed by XPS showed mostly C, O and Ti in the oxide layer, with small amounts of N, P and Ca due to processing, and Al present on 2D surfaces (table 2). EDX allows for higher penetration past the oxide layer and showed Ti, Al and V as the bulk surface composition, with a small amount of C present on 3DLP surfaces (table 3). Contact angle of 2D proxy surfaces was 92 degrees with a standard deviation of eight degrees. Compressive modulus decreased in a porosity-dependent manner (table 4). 3DLP had a modulus of  $3693 \pm 27$ , 3DMP a modulus of  $3522 \pm 52$  MPa and 3DHP a modulus of  $2579 \pm 106$  MPa.

After manufacturing the surfaces had a very grainy topography at the macro scale, but smooth topography at the micro scale (figures 2(A), (B)). Blasting and acid etching induced micro roughness on surfaces while maintaining macro structure (figures 2(C), (D)). Pickling overlaid fine and

Table 4.	Compressive	modulus	(MPa).
----------	-------------	---------	--------

Compressive modulus (average MPa ± SD)			
3D-LP	$3693 \pm 27$		
3D-MP	3522±52 (*)		
3D-HP	2579±106 (*^)		

Significance p < 0.05. \* versus LP, ^ versus MP.

homogenous nanofeatures on the macro surface (figures 2(E), (F)). Cross sectional, low magnification SEM images show internal pore surfaces looking similar to pretreated constructs, indicating the inability of grit blasting treatment to affect internal construct pores (figure 3). Surface roughness results revealed increasing surface roughness and area at the macro level for increasing porosity (figures 4(A), (B)). Surface micro roughness showed no difference between groups except an elevation in 3DHP surfaces, and no difference between groups for surface area at the micro level (figures 4(C), (D)).

#### 3.2. Biological response

Live/dead analysis indicated that cells on all surfaces had high viability. No significant differences in osteoblast viability were observed across constructs with varying porosity (figure 5(A)). 2D surfaces had the highest percent viability at 99.9%. 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had 94.9%, 98.1% and 91.6% cell viability, respectively. Representative SEM micrographs of cells cultured on disks showed cells spread evenly across surfaces (figure 5(B)).

DNA was highest on TCPS and decreased as porosity increased (figure 6(A)). ALP, a marker of early osteoblastic differentiation, was elevated on 3DLP compared to TCPS, then decreased on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to 3DLP, and decreased significantly on 3DHP compared to TCPS (figure 6(B)). OCN increased significantly on 3DHP compared to TCPS (figure 6(C)). OPG increased on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to TCPS and 3DLP, and was also



Figure 3. Cross sectional SEM images of 3DLP (A); 3DMP (B); and 3DHP (C) constructs. An enlarged image of 3DHP (D) shows an absence of surface roughness induced by grit blasting.



**Figure 4.** Macro surface roughness (A), macro surface area (B), micro surface roughness (C) and micro surface area (D) analyzed with laser confocal microscopy. 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction shows significance of p < 0.05 for \* versus 3DLP and ^ versus 3DMP.

significantly higher on 3DHP compared to 3DMP (figure 6(D)). BMP2 on 3DLP, 3DMP and 3DHP was significantly higher than on TCPS, and 3DMP and 3DHP constructs had higher BMP2 levels compared to 3DLP

(figure 6(E)). BMP4 was elevated on 3DHP compared to TCPS only (figure 6(F)). VEGF was elevated on 3DMP and 3DHP compared to TCPS and 3DLP, and was also significantly higher on 3DHP compared to 3DMP (figure 6(G)).



**Figure 5.** MG63 cell viability (live = green, dead = red) after culturing until confluence on TCPS (A). No differences were found among groups using 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction, p < 0.05. SEM micrographs revealing well-spread cell morphology on surfaces (B).

#### 4. Discussion

Increased implant failure due to lack of osseointegration is a problem in compromised patients, which creates the need for better bone integration and mechanical properties of Ti and Ti alloy implants [16]. Although studies have pointed toward 3D porous implants as a possible solution, these surfaces have not been optimized for porosity or combined with desired surface roughness features.

Various additive manufacturing methods such as direct beam melting and laser sintering have come to the forefront of customized and porous implant manufacturing. The sintering system we use in this study has a theoretical resolution of 100 microns according to the laser size; however, limited studies have been performed on the homogeneity of laser strength within that diameter. Scan speed and wavelength can all have an effect on the manufactured structure's density and therefore mechanical properties, but with higher resolution comes increased time of production.

Previous studies have observed increased sintering density of over 97% with decreasing scan speeds to 50 mm s<sup>-1</sup> [24]. Our qualitative evaluations using SEM and quantitative analysis using micro CT point toward a close approximation of our construct structure with that of human trabecular bone, even at high density. Although the optimal pore diameter for porous implants has been debated in literature, most studies observe increased cell infiltration or bone ingrowth for pores larger than 100  $\mu$ m in diameter [31–33]. Pore sizes of 200– 400  $\mu$ m have been thought to increase osteoblast attachment, migration and proliferation via activation of mechanoreceptors [34]. We observed pore sizes upwards of 300  $\mu$ m in our disks, which has been suggested as a minimum for new bone and capillary formation [33]. Pore diameter has been suggested to have higher influence on bone ingrowth when compared to total percent porosity alone, although we were not able to isolate these two variables in our constructs [35].

Similar processing methods have previously been shown to successfully manufacture surfaces with stable mechanical properties and good in vitro results [27]. The effect of roughness at both the micro-and nano-scales on osteoblast differentiation has been well documented [10, 29, 36], and our results show that traditional methods such as blasting and acid etching are effective at inducing a homogeneous combined micro-/nano-roughness on additively manufactured surfaces. Due to the high interconnectivity between pores, acid treatment and pickling solutions were able to access the entire surface area of constructs to create a unique, homogenous nanostructured surface. However, our results show that blasting was not able to significantly alter the internal pores of the constructs. Despite this, cell response still increased significantly for high porosity constructs, suggesting that macro-structural effects of 3D porous constructs may play a larger role in cell response compared to surface roughness alone.

Human trabecular bone from the mandible has a porosity range of 70–90%, which varies with location and patient factors [37–39]. In this study, we created porous structures ranging from 20–70% to determine the optimal percent porosity for cells. Our compressive moduli decrease with increasing porosity, which has been corroborated for both synthetic constructs and human bone [20, 38]. Compressive testing on human trabecular bone has shown a compressive



**Figure 6.** MG63 cell response to laser sintered, porous surfaces 24 h after confluence. DNA content (A), alkaline phosphatase specific activity (B), osteocalcin (C), osteoprotegerin (D), bone morphogenetic protein 2 (E), bone morphogenetic protein 4 (F), and vascular endothelial growth factor (G). Significance determined with 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni's post-correction, p < 0.05 for \* versus TCPS, ^ versus 2D, # versus 3DLP and \$ versus 3D-MP.

modulus of 1.08 GPa [40]. A study encompassing 160 human trabecular bone samples with compressive moduli ranging from approximately 300–900 MPa showed that bone-volume fraction (density), surface-to-volume ratio, trabecular thickness (strut thickness) and spacing (pore diameter) all

contributed significantly to differences in mechanical properties [41]. The direction of loading can also impact mechanical output, which is especially true due to the anisotropic properties of bone [25]. In this study, we performed testing on porous constructs with a 1 mm solid base, which may have contributed to a higher modulus than just the porous component alone.

Surface chemistry of disks with varying porosity contained mainly elements of Ti, O and C, although bulk chemistry confirmed the presence of Ti, Al and V in the alloy. Previous surface analysis of Ti6Al4V surfaces has also shown the presence of Al in the oxide layer, which may have been masked by Ca and P after blasting [42]. Contact angle on 2D proxy surfaces was neither super-hydrophilic nor hydrophobic. These 2D surfaces underwent the same surface treatment as 3D constructs, although the effect of strut curvature and differences in internal surface roughness on wettability for 3D constructs could not be determined. Surface roughness and area at the micro level were not different among groups except for an elevated roughness on 3DHP, which may have been due to the decreased strut thickness and increased curvature at sites of analysis.

Cell viability was high and not significantly different among surfaces. A qualitative observation of a decrease in cell number with increasing porous constructs suggests that cells had infiltrated pores and distributed over a larger surface area. Previous studies on additively manufactured porous surfaces also showed high cell viability and cell infiltration into pores [43], which were confirmed by our SEM images. We assume high cell viability exists for cells that have infiltrated to the pores; however, we were not able to visualize all the way to the bottom of the disks to fully verify cell infiltration. Viability results were limited to the first 550  $\mu$ m, a limitation of the imaging equipment.

The decrease in ALP specific activity and the increase in OCN point toward a porosity-dependent maturation response. Previous reports of ALP activity on roughened surfaces noted a significant difference in cell layer activity versus isolated cells, suggesting increased matrix vesicle production [44]. These results also correspond to the decreased DNA content on 3DHP constructs, indicating a preference toward osteoblast maturation instead of proliferation. OPG, a decoy receptor for RANKL and involved in the bone remodeling process, was increased on 3DMP and 3DHP constructs. This increase in OPG blocks osteoclast differentiation in a protective effect to enhance bone growth, and has been implicated in osteoblast-osteoclast communication [45]. An increase of BMP2 and BMP4, especially on 3DHP surfaces, corroborates previous studies that observe increased BMP production on constructs with  $300-400 \,\mu m$  pore diameters [46]. Although our pore diameters are larger, the irregular porosity of trabecular bone may contribute differently to local factor production than in other studies with user-defined geometries. The increase of BMP local factor production indicates that our porous constructs have the potential to regulate the induction of bone inside the construct, as well as induce bone distally. The increased levels of VEGF on 3DHP constructs also point toward this trend, indicating that highly porous constructs of trabecular bone structure are inductive for blood vessel formation as part of supporting new bone formation and bone regeneration.

As percent porosity increased, so did the surface area to volume ratio, indicating an increased surface area for cell interaction. It has previously been shown that rough titanium surfaces enhance osteoblastic differentiation and increase local factor production, so the increased cell response in this study may well be attributed to the varying material properties of our surface [30]. In this study, the combination of the three dimensional macro-structure, increase in surface area and combined micro-/nano-surface modification enhanced the osteoblast phenotype. Increased curvature on 3D surfaces with higher porosity may exert higher mechanical forces on a cell, which has been shown to direct cells toward osteoblast differentiation [47]. This response could be mediated by cell-surface integrin proteins. In particular,  $\alpha 5$  has been implicated in osteoblast attachment and proliferation, and  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  in osteoblast morphology and differentiation via its binding to collagen in the extracellular matrix [13, 48].

The role of confluence may contribute to cell response, and has been previously discussed with regard to TCPS versus rough titanium surfaces [49]. Although decreased DNA was shown on Ti6Al4V surfaces compared to TCPS controls, previous studies on pre-confluent cultures have also shown a different maturation profile of osteoblasts on the Ti alloy surfaces compared to TCPS, suggesting that our resulting cell response was also surface specific and not confluence dependent [34].

Increased bone growth in response to additively manufactured implants has been shown in various animal models, including rats and sheep [28, 50]. Previous work has shown highest calcium content and *in vivo* response to materials with 75% porosity compared to higher porosities [51]. Further work in an animal model will be essential to assess the success of bone growth into individual pores and osseointegration capability of the entire porous construct.

## 5. Conclusion

In this study, we used additive manufacturing to produce Ti6Al4V materials with varying porosity that structurally mimicked human trabecular bone, and further created a desirable surface for osteoblasts by inducing combined micro-/nanoroughness. Our results indicate that a high porosity construct mimicking trabecular bone structure is capable of stimulating osteoblast differentiation when compared to 2D and low porosity constructs. Additive manufacturing is a scalable manufacturing method that has the potential to create structurally complex, patient-specific orthopedic and dental implants and scaffolds for increased osseointegration. Although trabecular orientation may vary across individuals and locations in the body, this study suggests that osteoblast cells actually do prefer one type of porosity and structure. In addition, this study reveals the possibility for creating patient-specific implants, which may accelerate the fields of dental and orthopedic implants.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank AB Dental (Ashdod, Israel) for funding this study. The authors also recognize the support

of the National Science Foundation (AC) and the National Institutes of Health (US PHS Grant AR052102, BDB).

#### References

- Kurtz S, Ong K, Lau E, Mowat F and Halpern M 2007 Projections of primary and revision hip and knee arthroplasty in the United States from 2005 to 2030 *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 89 780–5
- [2] American Dental Association 2007 The 2005–06 Survey of Dental Services Rendered (Chicago, IL: American Dental Association)
- [3] Orthopedic implants a global market overview. industry experts 2011
- [4] Van Noort R 1987 Titanium: the implant material of today J. Mater. Sci. 22 3801–11
- [5] Rack H and Qazi J 2006 Titanium alloys for biomedical applications *Mater. Sci. Eng.* C. 26 1269–77
- [6] Long M and Rack H J 1998 Titanium alloys in total joint replacement—a materials science perspective *Biomaterials* 19 1621–39
- [7] Textor M, Sittig C, Frauchiger V, Tosatti S and Brunette D M 2001 Properties and biological significance of natural oxide films on titanium and its alloys *Titanium in Medicine* (Berlin: Springer) pp 171–230
- [8] Zhao G, Zinger O, Schwartz Z, Wieland M, Landolt D and Boyan B D 2006 Osteoblast-like cells are sensitive to submicron-scale surface structure *Clin. Oral Implants Res.* 17 258–64
- [9] Zinger O *et al* 2005 Differential regulation of osteoblasts by substrate microstructural features *Biomaterials* 26 1837–47
- [10] Gittens R et al 2011 The effects of combined micron-/ submicron-scale surface roughness and nanoscale features on cell proliferation and differentiation *Biomaterials* 32 3395–403
- [11] Zhao G, Raines A L, Wieland M, Schwartz Z and Boyan B D 2007 Requirement for both micron-and submicron scale structure for synergistic responses of osteoblasts to substrate surface energy and topography *Biomaterials* 28 2821–9
- [12] Olivares-Navarrete R et al 2010 Direct and indirect effects of microstructured titanium substrates on the induction of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation towards the osteoblast lineage Biomaterials 31 2728–35
- [13] Olivares-Navarrete R *et al* 2008 Integrin  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  plays a critical role in osteoblast response to micron-scale surface structure and surface energy of titanium substrates *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105** 15767–72
- [14] Keselowsky B G, Wang L, Schwartz Z, Garcia A J and Boyan B D 2007 Integrin α5 controls osteoblastic proliferation and differentiation responses to titanium substrates presenting different roughness characteristics in a roughness independent manner *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A.* 80A 700–10
- [15] Bain C A and Moy P K 1993 The association between the failure of dental implants and cigarette smoking Int. J. Oral Maxillofacial Implants 8 1–13
- [16] Moy P K, Medina D, Shetty V and Aghaloo T L 2005 Dental implant failure rates and associated risk factors *Int. J. Oral Maxillofacial Implants* 20 569–77
- [17] Mahomed N N *et al* 2003 Rates and outcomes of primary and revision total hip replacement in the united states medicare population *J. Bone Joint Surg.* 85 27–32
- [18] Lautenschlager E P and Monaghan P 1993 Titanium and titanium alloys as dental materials *Int. Dental J.* 43 245–53

- [19] Sumner D, Turner T M, Igloria R, Urban R M and Galante J O 1998 Functional adaptation and ingrowth of bone vary as a function of hip implant stiffness *J. Biomech.* **31** 909–17
- [20] Parthasarathy J, Starly B, Raman S and Christensen A 2010 Mechanical evaluation of porous titanium (Ti6Al4V) structures with electron beam melting (EBM) *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* **3** 249–59
- [21] Sumner D and Galante J O 1992 Determinants of stress shielding: design versus materials versus interface *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.* 274 202–12
- [22] van Noort R 2012 The future of dental devices is digital *Dental Mater.* 28 3–12
- [23] Warnke P H et al 2009 Rapid prototyping: porous titanium alloy scaffolds produced by selective laser melting for bone tissue engineering *Tissue Eng. C Methods.* 15 115–24
- [24] Bertol L S, Júnior W K, Silva F P D and Aumund-Kopp C 2010 Medical design: direct metal laser sintering of Ti–6Al– 4V Mater. Des. 31 3982–8
- [25] Heinl P, Müller L, Körner C, Singer R F and Müller F A 2008 Cellular Ti–6Al–4V structures with interconnected macro porosity for bone implants fabricated by selective electron beam melting *Acta Biomater.* 4 1536–44
- [26] Ryan G, Pandit A and Apatsidis D P 2006 Fabrication methods of porous metals for use in orthopaedic applications *Biomaterials* 27 2651–70
- [27] Traini T, Mangano C, Sammons R, Mangan F, Macchi A and Piettelli A 2008 Direct laser metal sintering as a new approach to fabrication of an isoelastic functionally graded material for manufacture of porous titanium dental implants *Dental Mater.* 24 1525–33
- [28] Amin Yavari S et al 2014 Bone regeneration performance of surface-treated porous titanium Biomaterials 35 6172–81
- [29] Lincks J et al 1998 Response of MG63 osteoblast-like cells to titanium and titanium alloy is dependent on surface roughness and composition *Biomaterials* 19 2219–32
- [30] Schwartz Z et al 2008 Effect of micrometer-scale roughness of the surface of Ti6Al4V pedicle screws in vitro and in vivo J. Bone Joint Surg. 90 2485–98
- [31] Xue W, Krishna B V, Bandyopadhyay A and Bose S 2007 Processing and biocompatibility evaluation of laser processed porous titanium Acta Biomater. 3 1007–18
- [32] Jones A C, Arns C H, Sheppard A P, Hutmacher D W, Milthorpe B K and Knackstedt M A 2007 Assessment of bone ingrowth into porous biomaterials using MICRO-CT *Biomaterials* 28 2491–504
- [33] Karageorgiou V and Kaplan D 2005 Porosity of 3D biomaterial scaffolds and osteogenesis *Biomaterials* 26 5474–91
- [34] Boyan B D, Hummert T W, Dean D D and Schwartz Z 1996 Role of material surfaces in regulating bone and cartilage cell response *Biomaterials* 17 137–46
- [35] Gauthier O, Bouler J-M, Aguado E, Pilet P and Daculsi G 1998 Macroporous biphasic calcium phosphate ceramics: influence of macropore diameter and macroporosity percentage on bone ingrowth *Biomaterials* 19 133–9
- [36] Martin J Y et al 1995 Effect of titanium surface roughness on proliferation, differentiation, and protein synthesis of human osteoblast-like cells (MG63) J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 29 389–401
- [37] Misch C E, Qu Z and Bidez M W 1999 Mechanical properties of trabecular bone in the human mandible: implications for dental implant treatment planning and surgical placement *J. Oral Maxillofacial Surg.: Official J. Ame. Assoc. Oral Maxillofacial Surg.* 57 700–6
- [38] McCalden R W, McGeough J A, Barker M B and Court-Brown C M 1993 Age-related changes in the tensile properties of cortical bone. The relative importance of changes in porosity, mineralization, and microstructure *J. Bone Joint Surg.* **75** 1193–205

- [39] Seeman E 2002 Pathogenesis of bone fragility in women and men *The Lancet.* 359 1841–50
- [40] Sevilla P, Aparicio C, Planell J A and Gil F J 2007 Comparison of the mechanical properties between tantalum and nickeltitanium foams implant materials for bone ingrowth applications J. Alloys Compd. 439 67–73
- [41] Ding M, Odgaard A, Danielsen C C and Hvid I 2002 Mutual associations among microstructural, physical and mechanical properties of human cancellous bone *J. Bone Joint Surg. British Vol.* 84 900–7
- [42] Gittens R A et al 2012 Differential responses of osteoblast lineage cells to nanotopographically-modified, microroughened titanium–aluminum–vanadium alloy surfaces *Biomaterials* 33 8986–94
- [43] Hollander D A et al 2006 Structural, mechanical and in vitro characterization of individually structured Ti– 6Al–4V produced by direct laser forming Biomaterials 27 955–63
- [44] Kieswetter K, Schwartz Z, Dean D D and Boyan B D 1996 The role of implant surface characteristics in the healing of bone *Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med.: Off. Publ. Am. Assoc. Oral Biol.* 7 329–45

- [45] Boyce B F and Xing L 2007 Biology of RANK, RANKL, and osteoprotegerin Arthritis Res. Ther. 9 Suppl 1:S1
- [46] Tsuruga E, Takita H, Itoh H, Wakisaka Y and Kuboki Y 1997 Pore size of porous hydroxyapatite as the cell-substratum controls BMP-induced osteogenesis J. Biochem. 121 317–24
- [47] Kilian K A, Bugarija B, Lahn B T and Mrksich M 2010 Geometric cues for directing the differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107 4872–7
- [48] Lai M *et al* 2014 Role of  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrins in mediating cell shape on microtextured titanium surfaces *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* doi:10.1002/jbm.a.35185 (epub ahead of print)
- [49] Kieswetter K *et al* 1996 Surface roughness modulates the local production of growth factors and cytokines by osteoblastlike MG-63 cells J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 32 55–63
- [50] Stubinger S *et al* 2013 Histological and biomechanical analysis of porous additive manufactured implants made by direct metal laser sintering: a pilot study in sheep *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B: Appl. Biomater.* 101 1154–63
- [51] Bandyopadhyay A, Espana F, Balla V K, Bose S, Ohgami Y and Davies N M 2010 Influence of porosity on mechanical properties and *in vivo* response of Ti6Al4V implants Acta Biomater. 6 1640–8